CUAPTUR III

HOUSEHOLD ASPECTS

1. Definition of "Household":

A "household" may be defined as a family unit which lives under the same house and shares a common "cocking pot". Thus there may be more than one household living in a house (1) if the "cooking pots" are separate.

2. Total Birber of Households and Total Population:

We note that the total population living in these four blocks is 1,041 persons. These 1,041 persons are organised into 183 households, which gives an average household size of 5.7 persons per household. (Table 13) The number of households however, coincides with the number of houses (183 houses), and therefore, it follows that the distribution of households among ethnic groups and blocks also shows the distribution of houses.(2)

3. Distribution of Households by Ethnic Groups:

Table 14 shows that of the total of 183 households, 97 are Malay households, and 86 are Javanese. Relating to total population of each ethnic group, we find that the 602 Malay population are organised into 97 households, and the 439 Javanese are organised into 86 households. This gives us a respective average household size of 6.2 persons per household for the Malays and 5.1 persons per household for the Javanese. (Table 13) It is noticeable that an average Malay household is slightly bigger than the average Javanese household. This fact agrees with our previous findings that the incidence of childbearing (as shown in Table 12) among the population of adult Malay women is slightly higher than the Javanese.

⁽¹⁾ There is no specific provision in the questionnaire to take account of the fact that there might be more than one household living in one house. It is perhaps presumably assumed that one house contains one household.

⁽²⁾ A house is the physical building which makes up the dwelling place while the "households" are the human content of the house. Incidently, the distribution of houses is also the distribution of these units of the human contents.

TABLE 14

DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSTHOLDS BY EXTRIC GROUPS AND BLOCKE

AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD SIZE

	Bl	LOCK	S	II.	AVER HOLD		2 B
		8			5.	5	
		0			5.	9	
		8			8.		
		W			4.	4	

TABLE 13

÷	. :	ă.	and Street		N 19	9 Y.	e de Se de	4,4,4		1	₩÷,	14.5			100	odije Kontra		31	9.4	11的				
ă,			AT	711	24	CT.	•	T.							Set:	12				an ka		3,74		
1			-	En	1	34			4 64		<u>.</u>	DP.	4	Pr-	i jir	î'n.			1 - 1 1	_	100 E		s kiljer	
jen Gr	27	100 m 1374	H	AIL	AL.)	51	7	Š.	9, 4,	à÷		11-1	36	чġ,		5.	7		754	63	
			10	07	96	. 1					4.47	일일		(is ja	- 50	*	ø.	M.H.	3 9	h(;)		TĂ.	100	
		Ak	11	V.	L	ربا					d g				585	1.04	dil)				16,1	-		
					ولوا		Ŋ.					339	1				ofite in Survice							
*				en (f.)			-		-		-		1		-			-		-			-	
Ū,	jiri), i erej	T.		李德、	100	106						GeS				97	G.					9.34	1 6121 0313	36
	누박	er i sera Linguis			W. A.						90 Jahr V 1820 J 1820	JM.		鵱	100		M.,		ji,		473		40	dia
	ij.	18			44.50 T. 19. TS		ŇĖ.		40.	Ď.,							목장			ž-				100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
								364			29	ر فائل آن الله الأناس		٧		4		4 2					Z.	1.53
N.		Wing	M	اعلنا	T.					L in		533							5.	Z				34
			H	W!	:IJ	HC	T. 1					验仪	di.							وه د د د . درمو د کرد د		30		
•			44.	<i>-</i>		100	-						1	811									r Filip	
				i.J.					190		e sej Se se	9.10.2				å j			11/02			超,	- 3	
					AGAINT I			allan Allan								433				CONTRACTOR OF STATE O				
dili.) 			35							2007. 2007.	a laferii Laferii			3.5		
edis. Sala	Xat						12.2						4		44									
							73							ğı K		· .					W.			
				W					49								ا بولگانی دندان دی	20.0	5.	L			الله المالية المالية	
		e andi OSect	116	W!	:10	H	II.I						91	S.E									40.	
	Sime Cost	Ó.	-	•		~~						400		a.										
	100	74.€ 12=	1000	1996 335	8 . 2 " "	47 1.0		27 1 11	1. 7. 1		. are		5 10 13	そろいり		-03 to 7 to	7 W 150		100		14.00	145	1 14	

4. Distribution of Households by Blockst

The households are unevenly distributed in the four blocks. As shown in table 14, block E contains 49 households or 26.7%; block 4 58 or 31.7%; block 3 68 households or 37.2%, while block II contains only 8 households or 4.4% or the total. We could relate the number of households to total population of each block. We find that in block E, there are 274 persons living in 49 households, giving an average household size of 5.5 persons. (Table 15) There are 343 persons in block Q living in 58 households, giving an average household size of 5.9 persons. In block S, there are 389 persons living in 63 households, giving an average household size of 5.7 persons. While the 35 persons in block W are organised into 8 households; an average size of 4.4 persons per household.

We notice that there is only slight difference in the average household size in the 3 blocks &, 4 and S. It seems that the Malay houses are concentrated in two blocks Q and S. These two blocks together contains 68 out of the total 97 Malay houses. Block 3 contains more Javanese houses (34 out of total 86) than in any other block.

5. Household Sizet

Table 16 shows the distribution of household size by ethnic groups. It is seen that 30% of the total households have 7 persons or more while 70% have 5 persons or less. Also 38.3% of the households have 5 to 6 persons per household.

Among the Javanese 69.3% of the total Javanere household are made up of 5 persons or less per household, and 30% made up of 7 persons or more. A similar pattern of distribution is seen among the Malays. Of the total Malay households, 69.1% consisting of 5 persons or less, and 30.9% have 7 persons or more.

Most of the households of both ethnic groups are concentrated in the size group of 5 to 6 persons per household. (The average household size for the entire population is, however, 5.7 persons.) It is seen that 35.1% of the Malay households and 38.1% of Javanese households are concentrated within this size group.

We can discern that there is no significant difference in the household size between the two ethnic groups.

TABLE 16

HOUSEHOLD BY SIZE AND EMPUIC GROUPS

		nos.				
223 Scusy	MALAXS	JAVAMESE	TOTAL	KALAYS	JAVANESE	POTAL
	5	3	8	3.1	3.3	8.8
2		Š	16	9.8	8.2	8.2
.			16			
4	14			10.4	12.0	12,0
					12.5	12.6
	8			25.8	25.6	25.7
				9.3	9.3	9.3
8 4				7.8	9.3	9.3
9		5		4.1	3.8	9.0
10		8			3.3	3.3
11			•	8.2	2.7	2.0
12 & ABCVB			4	3.1	1.6	1.6
CTAL		86	188	100	100	100

W.A. Anderson (1), in his study of rural domiciles in Genesse Country, New York distinguished the following six major types of households:-

busband - wife;

husband - wife - children;

husband - wife - other persons;

husband - wife - children - others;

broken-femily households; and

households in which no marital relations exists.

We may follow the same classification in distinguishing the household types in this area, but with some modifications to suit local society. We may distinguish the following seven household types in our survey as shown in table 17:-

- 1. Husband wife(2) with/without children,
- Husband wife with/without children, with parents.
- Husband wife with/without children with miscellaneous relatives ...
- 4. Widows or widowers (3) with/without children ...
- 5. Single-person(4) household...
- 6. Husband wife with married sons and daughters.
- 7. Husband wives (5) with/without children.
- (1) W.A. Anderson, "The Composition of Bural Households". Cornell University Agric. Expansion Station Bulletin cited from Elements of Bural Sociology" by N. bims.
- (2) Men and women who reported themselves to be legally married.
- (3) A widow is a woman who is bereft of her husband either by death or divorce and had not married since.

 A widower is a man who had lost his wife either by death or divorce.
- (4) Single person household is a household lived by "one person".
- (5) Muslim religion allows a man to have four wives at a time. Although the wives may stay in the same house, they may not share the same cooking pot. This is an example of several households living in one house.

TABLE 17

DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLD TYPES

BY ETWIC GROUPS

ETHIIC GROUPS		nos.									
HCU CERIOLD	Malays	JAVANGSE	TOTAL	MALAYS	JAVANESK	MMM					
HUSBAID-WIFE- with/without children WITHOUT PAREME		59		60.8	68.6	64.5					
HUSBAND-WIFE vith/without children with parents			8			4.4					
ECSBARD-WIFE with/without children with RELATIVES					5.8	7.3					
WIDOWS OR WIDOWERS With/without Children					12.6	13.3					
SINGLE PERSON HOUSEHOLD				5.6		4.9					
LUSTALD-MEPE VILL HARRIED & DAUGHTERS			10	5,2	5.8	5.5					
EUSBAMD-WIVES VIE / WITHOUT C. ILDREN			1	1.0	•	0.1					
IVDAG	97	88	183	100	100	100					

An examination of Table 17 will reveal that 64.5% of the total households consists of married couples with or without children. This is the most common type of household one can find any where else in the world. The same fact was brought to light by Anderson's study (op.cit.). Of the total farmhouseholds studied by him, 63.5% consisted of married couples and such couples with children.

Pable 17 indicates that there is a substantial percentage (13.3%) of widows or widowers household. This indicates that the incidence of loss of mates through either death or divorce is quite significant.

7.3% of the households fall within the category of husband - wife with miscelleneous relatives. Relatives are not permanent member of the household(1). They come usually in the harvesting season to seek employment with the family as harvesting-hands. When the harvesting season is over these relatives will return to their own homes.

7. Matribution of Household Types by Ethnic Groupsi

A roughly similar pattern of distribution of househeld types is revealed between the Malays and the Javanese.
60.85 of the Malay households consists of husband - wife with/
without children type of household, and 68.6% of the Javanese
households are of this type. There is also a considerable
proportion of widows or widowers households in the two races.
The Malays have I3.4% while the Javanese have 12.8% of this
type of bousehold. The incidence of loss of mates through
death or divorce is slightly higher among the Malays. The
Javanese, furthermore, have more husband - wife - children
households then Malays.

Only one household whose head reported to have more than one wife; this is a Walay household.

And the second

. 31

⁽¹⁾ The two surveys conducted - the first one in April 1962 and the second in May 1963 - each coincided with the harvesting season. Therefore, it is not surprising if we find large numbers of friends and relatives enumerated living in the farm households.