APPENDIX A

JRES INDEX SCORES FOR MALAYSIAN IPTA ANNUAL REPORTS, 1999 & 2000

Section Score (max 100)	Section weighted raw score	TARGETS	STUDENT-STAFF RATIO	PUBLICATIONS	EMPLOYMENT/EDUCATION	GRADUATES	SERVICE PERFORMANCE STUDENTS NUMBERS	Section Score (max 100)	Section weighted raw score	DIRECTORY INFORMATION	ACCOUNTING POLICIES	FIGURES FINANCIAL REVIEW	SUMMARY FACTS AND	DESCRIPTION OF	ITEMS SCALES (1-5) OVERVIEW STATEMENTS OF OBJECTIVES		
	7	2	ų.	u	_	2	u.		=	_	2	-	_	u.	Į.,	Weight	MC
38.6	27	0	0	w	0	ų.	4	S2.7	29	u	u	2	y.	3	2	UM 1999 2000	DIFIE
30.0	21	0	0	2	0	ယ	ယ	58.2	32	ω	ω	N	ယ	4	N		DACC
34.3	24	0	0	2	0	ω	4	49.1	27	4	ယ	ω	N	ω	-	USM 1999 2000	Č N
37.1	26		0	ဒ	0	ω	မ	58.2	32	4	မ	4	ယ	ω	2		ADIL
34.3	24	0	0	3	0	ယ	ω	47.3	26	ω	ω	2	ω	ω	-	UKM 1999 2000	1 010
30.0	21	0	0	2	0	မ	ω	52.7	29	ω	ယ	2	ဒ	ω	N	2000	7
34.3	24	0	0	ω	0	ယ	ω	54.5	36	အ	ω	ω	ω	ယ	N	U PM 1999 2000	on to
37.1	26	0	0	ω	0	4	ω	56.4	31	ယ	ယ	4	ယ	ယ	N		5
21.4	15	0	0	ω	0	N	N	50.9	28	4	ω	_	2	3	N	UTM 1999 2	9
17.1	12	0	0	2		_	_	43.6	24	4		_	23	မ	2	8	
45.7	32	0	2	N	0	4	4	61.8	¥	On .	ယ	8	ယ	4	N	UUM 1999 2000	
50.0	35	0	ယ	N	0	4	4	65.5	36	5	ယ	ယ	4	4	N		
21.4	15	_	0	_	0	2	N	49.1	27	မ	ယ	အ	ယ	2	N	1999 1999	
14.3	10	0	0	0	0	N	N	25.5	4	0	0	0	8	N	N	UNIMAS 999 2000	
34.3	24	0	0	4	0	0	4	40.0	n	ω	ω	N	10	ယ	0	UMS 1999 2000	
31.4	u	0	0	ω	0	N	ω	36.4	20	ယ	2	2	2	မ	0	s 2000	
22.9	16	0	_	0	0	2	ω	49.1	27	ဖ	2	s	N	ω	N	UPSI 1999 2000	MODIFIED ACCOUNTABILITY DISCLOSORES INDEX COOLEGY CO
15.7	Ξ	0	0	0	0		ω	78.2	43	3	ယ	ω	2	ω	N	2000	
18.6	13	0	0	0	0	N	a	54.5	30	ဒ	ω	ယ	3	ω	N	UiTM 1999 2000	i
18.6	13	0	0	_	0	N	N	56.4	31	ω	4	2	ယ	မ	N		i
																1999 2000	5
8.6	6	0		0	0	0	N	41.8	23	N	N	8	ω	12	N		
30.6		0.1	0.3	21	0.0	2.4	3.2	50.9	28.0	3.4	2.9	2.4	2,6	3.0	1.6	1999 2000	AVEDACE
26.4		0.1		1.6	0.1	2.3	2.6	52		3.0	2.5	2.3	2.7	3.0	.	2000	S S

	Weight		•	MSU	Ĕ	Ç	UKM	<u> </u>	LPM 200	ĝ	UTM.		UUM 1999 2000	-	UNIMAS 199 2000		U MS 1999 2000		UPSI 1999 2000		UITM 1999 2000		KUIM 1999 2000		AVERAGE 1999 2000	2000
FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE			, .	,	,			ى د			~ v		4	-	_	0	ဖ	ω	ω	ω	4	4		ω	3.2	2.8
DEBBECIATION			•												Ν.	0	ω	ω	N	ω	4	4			3.3	2.9
		4	4											.	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0.2	0.2
BUDGET -INFORMATION	2	0	0	0	0	c	_	-								•	•							į)	1
UNIT COST PER STUDENT	2	y.	ω		_	0	0	•	_	0	0		10	ω	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0.6	0.7
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS	2	ω.	ω	သ	ω	ω	w	_	-	ت ن	2		3	ω	10	0	ω	ယ	ω	0	ယ	ω		ω	3.0	2.4
RESEARCH GRANTS	,	ı.	ω		ω	ω	N	ω	ω	ت د	N		ω .	4	ω	2	ω	8	0	0	N	N			2.4	22
OVERHEAD ALLOCATION	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	_	_	_	23	N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		-	0.3	0.5
Section weighted raw score	4	28	22	4	18	15	13	3 17	7 20	0 18	8 12	2 28		28	12	4	15	13	90	u	4	4		=		
Section Score (max 100)		40.0	31.4	20.0	25.7	21.4	1 18.6	6 24.3	3 28.6	6 25.7	7 17.1	1 40.0	0 40.0	0 17.1		5.7 2	21.4	18.6	11.4	43	20.0	20.0		15.7	24.1	20.5
PHYSICAL AND FINANCIAL CONDITION BALANCE SHEET	w	بي)	ယ	ယ	ω	ω	ω ω		ω	ω .		2	4	4	ယ	•	ω	ω	ю	ω	ω	4		N	3.1	2.7
STAFF	w	w	4	ω	4	3		ω	ω	ω	Ν.	2	4	4	ယ	ω	ω	ω	10	N	N	N		N	2.8	2.9
LIBRARY	2	0	N	N		2		ω	ω	ω	2	0	2	8	_	2	ယ	ω	ω	0	0	0		8	1.8	15
INVESTMENTS	_	LJ.	ω	ယ	3	ω		ω	Ν.	N	-	-	ω	ယ	20	0	10	N	-	N	2	ω		-	22	21
COMMITMENT AND	2	3	3	124			_	-	-		N	0	-	_	0	0	-	-	_	-	0	0		0	=	8.0
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT AND	2	0	0	0	0	0		0	•	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		_	0.0	0.1
BUILDING	()— ():	2	2	2	2	2		2	2	2	ω	20	ω	ω	ω	N	ω	ω	8	ω	ω	ယ		20	2.5	2.4
Section weighted raw score	14	29	27	29	9 28	8 29		31 3	30	30	30	15	36	8	25	15	31	29	23	22	20	24		21		
Section Score (max 100)		41.4	38.6	41.4	40.0	41.4	44.3	3 42.9	9 42.9	9 42.9	9 21.4	4 51.4	.4 51.4	.4 35.7		21.4 4	44.3 41.43		9 25	31.4	28.6	34.3		8	40.3	36.1
MODIFIED AD SCORE		43.2	39.5	36.2	2 40.3	3 36.1	1 36.4	4 39.0	0 41.2	2 35.2	2 24.8	8 49.7	.7 51.7	.7 30.8	.8 16.7		35.0 3	31.9 2	29.1	32.4	30.4	32.3		24.0	36.5	33.8 8

APPENDIX B- SAMPLE OF QUESTIONNAIRE

Dear respondent,

A SURVEY ON EXTERNAL REPORTING OF PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES IN MALAYSIA

My name is Dalila Md Idris and I am currently pursuing a Master In Business Administration (Accountancy) at the Faculty Of Business And Accountancy, University Of Malaya.

As a partial fulfilment towards completion of my postgraduate degree, this study entitled "External Reporting Of Public Institutions Of Higher Learning In Malaysia: An Empirical Investigation" is undertaken under the supervision of Associate Professor Dr. Susela Devi.

This questionnaire is designed to gather and analyse the views of the users of annual reports of public institutions of higher learning in Malaysia. Public institutions of higher learning for the purpose of this questionnaire are defined as the public universities or commonly called as "Institut Pengajian Tinggi Awam" (IPTA). Currently there are 16 IPTA's in Malaysia that provide education opportunities to Malaysian.

Your views would be beneficial in assessing the current practice of external reporting of public universities. Your views would also shed some light in identifying weaknesses, if any, on the current practice of the external reporting of the public universities.

The information you provide will assist in gathering a correct picture of the external reporting of public institutions of higher learning. By relating your experiences you are providing useful input to the study and therefore I request you to respond to the questions frankly and honestly. Your response will be kept strictly confidential and will only be used for research purposes only.

Thank you very much for your time and cooperation.

Yours sincerely,

Dalila Md Idris

E-mail: dalila@um.edu.my

Telephone number: 03-79673269

UNIVERSITI MALAYA

ANNUAL REPORT OF MALAYSIAN PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING: AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION

Please return this questionnaire to:

Dalila Md Idris, Pejabat Bendahari, Universiti Malaya, Lembah Pantai, 50603 Kuala Lumpur. Fax Number 03-79575567 Tel Number 03-79673269

A post-paid envelope is enclosed

THANK YOU FOR YOUR HELP

GUIDANCE NOTE

Please answer all questions. These are set out in three sections. Most of the questions in section one and two require ticks or short answer. Section three requires for narration and comments.

SECTION ONE

- Did you receive the year 2000 annual report(s) of public institutions of higher learning? (Please circle one)
 Yes
 No (If your answer is no, please proceed to questions in Group B and D of Section Two)
- 2. Given you received the 2000 annual report(s) of a public institution(s) of higher learning, you may have some formal relationship with the organisation(s), which published the report(s). Listed below are 4 categories to which you might conceivably belong. Please indicate the category or categories to which you feel you belong in relation to the institution(s) which report(s) you received.

Place a tick in the column to the right	
MEMBER OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE REPORTING INSTITUTION	
OFFICIAL OR STAFF OF THE MINISTRY OF EDUCATION	
MANAGEMENT OF THE REPORTING INSTITUTION	
OTHER(S) (Please specify)	

The questions, which follow on pages 3 - 9, relate to the quality of public institutions of higher learning annual report(s) you received. If you received only one report, base your responses on that report.

However, if you received more than one institution's report, please answer the questions in relation to the institution in which you have the strongest interest. If there are several of equally strongest interests, select that report which quality you think is the highest out of these reports.

3.	How would you describe the annual report(s) you received in relation to your needs? (E.g. valuable information, junk mail)

SECTION TWO

Instructions for answering questions in Groups A, B, C and D of SECTION TWO on pages 3 to 9.

For each statement, which follows, please indicate the balance of your belief, opinion or perception by ticking on one of the boxes on the five-point scale beside each statement

GROUP A: THESE STATEMENTS REFER TO FEATURES OF THE ANNUAL REPORT YOU HAVE SELECTED

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each statement [If you do not have an opinion on any statement, do not answer]

1-Strongly Disagree

2-Disagree 3-Neither

	4-Agree 5-Strongly Agree					
		1	2	3	4	5
۱.	The report was timely					
2.	The report was visually attractive:					
3.	The cost to the institution of producing the report outweighed its benefit to the society:					
4.	The report was understandable:					
5.	The report was relevant to my needs:					
6.	The report was restricted to good news for the most part:					
7.	The report lacked coverage of future intentions:					
8.	The report was reliable:					

		The report was useful to me in making some decisions:			Ш			
		The report favoured the interests of the people who compiled it:						
	11.	The report had been properly verified:						
	12.	The report provided a sound basis on which to make comparisons with other institutions:						
	13.	The report provided information that of a value to me:						
	14.	The report provided a sound basis on which to make comparisons about this institution over time:						
ana	¥ 115	D. THEOR OTATEMENTS DEFED TO FI	FATI	RES TE	IAT YO	IU EXP	ECT TO	
GRC	OUP :	B: THESE STATEMENTS REFER TO FI HAVE IN THE ANNUAI Please indicate whether you think each of [If you do not have an opinion on any s 1- Very Bad 2- Bad 3- Neither 4- Good 5- Very Good	the fea	ORT itures is	good or	bad	ECTTO	SSITI MALAYA
GRO	OUP	HAVE IN THE ANNUAL Please indicate whether you think each of [If you do not have an opinion on any s 1- Very Bad 2- Bad 3- Neither	the fea	ORT itures is	good or	bad	ECT TO	NIVERSITI MALAYA
GRO)UP	HAVE IN THE ANNUAL Please indicate whether you think each of [If you do not have an opinion on any s 1- Very Bad 2- Bad 3- Neither 4- Good 5- Very Good	L REPo	ORT itures is	good or answe	bad r]		caan universiti malaya
GRO		HAVE IN THE ANNUAL Please indicate whether you think each of [If you do not have an opinion on any s 1- Very Bad 2- Bad 3- Neither 4- Good 5- Very Good Having reports that are timely is:	the featatement	ORT itures is	good or answe	bad r]		PUSTAKAAN UNIVERSITI MALAYA
GRO	1.	HAVE IN THE ANNUAL Please indicate whether you think each of [If you do not have an opinion on any s 1- Very Bad 2- Bad 3- Neither 4- Good 5- Very Good Having reports that are timely is: Having reports that are visually attractive is:	the featatement	ORT itures is	good or answe	bad r]		PERPUSTAKAAN UNIVERSITI MALAYA

5.	Having reports that are relevant to my needs is:			
6.	Having reports that are restricted to good news for the most part is:			
7.	Having reports that lack coverage of future intentions is:			
8.	Having reports that are reliable is:			
9.	Having reports that are useful to me in making some decisions is:			
10.	Having reports that favour the interests of the people who compiled them is:			
11	. Having that reports that have been properly verified is:			
12	. Having reports that provide a sound basis on which to make comparisons with other institutions is:			
13	. Having reports that provide information which of a value to me is:			
14	Having reports that provide a sound basis on which to make comparisons about the institutions overtime is:			

GROUP C: THESE STATEMENTS REFER TO KNOWLEDGE THAT YOU HAVE OBTAINED FROM THE ANNUAL REPORT YOU SELECTED

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each statement [If you do not have an opinion on any statement, do not answer]

	1-Strongly Disagree 2-Disagree 3-Neither 4-Agree 5-Strongly Agree					
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	From the report I obtained a full understanding of the institution's objectives:					
2.	From the report I know who governs and manages the institution and how this is done:					
3.	From the report I know the educational performance of the institution in compariso with other institutions in recent times:	on				
4.	From the report I know the size and composition of the student body:					
5.	From the report I know what research the staff are engaged in:					
6.	From the report I know what the institution has been trying to achieve in quantitative terms in recent times:	n				
7.	From the report I know what the institution has been trying to achieve in qualitative te in recent times:	n []				
8.		ate				

	From the report I know how successful students have been:			
10.	From the report I know about the overall future plans of the institution:			
11.	From the report I know the financial performance of the institution in compariso with other institutions in recent times:	on		
12.	From the report I know how much surplus or deficit the institution has been making:			
13.	From the report I know the revenues of the various services of the institution:			
14	. From the report I know what human and physical resources the institution has available:			
15	. From the report I know how the human and physical resources are distributed between the institution's various services:	ween		
16	5. From the report I know about the approach the institution has taken to gender discrimination:	er 🗌		
17	7. From the report I know the costs of the various services of the institution:			
18	8. From the report I know the financial implications of the institution's overall future plans:			
15	 From the report I have been able to evalue how efficient the institution is: 	ate		

GROUP D: THESE STATEMENTS REFER TO KNOWLEDGE THAT YOU EXPECT TO OBTAIN FROM THE ANNUAL REPORT

Please indicate whether you think each of the items of knowledge is important or unimportant [If you do not have an opinion on any statement, do not answer]

1-Very Unimportant

	2-Unimportant 3-Neither 4-Important 5-Very Important					
1.	As far as I am concerned, obtaining a full understanding of the institution's objectives is:	1	2	3	4	5
2.	As far I am concerned, knowing who the various people are, who govern and manage the institution and how this is done	is:				
3.	As far I am concerned, knowing the educational performance of the institution in comparison with other institutions in rectimes is:					
4.	As far I am concerned, knowing the size and composition of the student body is:					
5.	As far I am concerned, knowing what research the staff are engaged in is:					
6.	As far I am concerned, knowing what the institution has been trying to achieve in quantitative terms in recent times is:					
7.	As far I am concerned, knowing what the institution has been trying to achieve in qualitative terms in recent times is:					
8.	As far I am concerned, being able to evaluthe effectiveness of the institution is:	ate				

9. As far as I am concerned, knowing how successful students have been is:	
10. As far as I am concerned, knowing about the overall future plans of the institution is:	
11. As far as I am concerned, knowing the financial performance of the institution in comparison with other institutions in recent times is:	
12. As far as I am concerned, knowing how much surplus or deficit the institution has been making is:	
13. As far as I am concerned, knowing the revenues of the various services of the institution is:	
14. As far as I am concerned, knowing the costs of various services of the institution is.	
15. As far as I am concerned, knowing what human and physical resources the institution has available is:	
16. As far as I am concerned, knowing how the human and physical resources are distributed between the institution's various services is:	
17. As far as I am concerned, knowing about the approach the institution has taken to gender discrimination is:	
18. As far as I am concerned, being able to evaluate the efficiency of the institution is:	
19. As far as I am concerned, knowing the financial implications of the institution's	

SECTION THREE

This section gives you the opportunity to provide more information in your own words. (Some of the questions apply if you received the report on behalf of an organisation) Please answer only those questions that fit your circumstances.

If on the other hand, you do not wish to participate in this section, please move on to the last page of the questionnaire.

1.	How have the annual reports changed in recent years? (Assuming you have received annual reports from this organisation before)
2.	How do you think the institution(s) has changed as a result of changes in its annual report?
3.	Is there anything else you wish to add?

ALL RESPONSES WILL BE TREATED WITH UTMOST CONFIDENTIALITY.

ONCE AGAIN, THANK YOU FOR YOUR HELP.

APPENDIX C (i)

Output from SPSS

Descriptive Statistics

Table of frequency

Receive or do not receive annual reports

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid NO	5	20.0	20.0	20.0
YES	20	80.0	80.0	100.00
	25	100.00	100.00	

Types of respondents

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	BOARD OF DIRECTORS	3	12.0	12.0	12.0
	OFFICIAL OR STAFF OF MOE	4	16.0	16.0.	28.0
	MANAGEMENT OF REPORTING INSTITUTIONS	13	52.0	52.0	80.0
	OTHERS	5	20.0	20.0	100.00
121091	TOTAL	25	100.00	100.00	

Means for qualities of actual reports

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviatio
Timely	21	2	5	3.05	1.28
Attractive	21	2	5	3.76	0.8
Cost>Benefit	21	1	5	3.43	1.59
Understandable	21	1	5	3.86	0.9
Relevant	21	2	5	4.10	0.8
Restricted to good news	21	2	5	2.86	1.0
Lacked Coverage of future orientation	21	1	5	3.10	1.2
Reliable	21	1	5	4.00	0.8
Useful	21	3	5	4.05	0.5
		L			1

Favoured the interests of the compiler	20	1	5	2.45	1.468
Properly verified	21	1	5	3.81	1.030
Comparisons with other institutions	19	2	5	3.79	0.918
Information that of a value	21	3	5	4.10	0.625
Comparisons over time	20	2	5	3.90	0.788
Valid N (listwise)	19				

Means for qualities of normative reports

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Timely	23	2	5	4.65	0.714
Attractive	22	3	5	4.50	0.673
Cost>Benefit	23	1	5	2.04	1.430
Understandable	23	4	5	4.83	0.388
Relevant	23	3	5	4.70	0.559
Restricted to good news	22	1	5	2.36	1.002
Lacked Coverage of future orientation	23	1	5	2.09	0.848
Reliable	23	1	5	4.48	1.163
Useful	23	3	5	4.83	0.491
Favoured the interests of the compiler	23	1	5	2.39	1.469
Properly verified	23	4	5	4.78	0.422
Comparisons with other institutions	23	4	5	4.74	0.449
Information that of a value	23	2	5	4.61	0.783
Comparisons over time	23	4	5	4.78	0.422
Valid N (listwise)	21				
149447111					

Means for disclosures of actual reports

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Full understanding of the institutions' objective Who governs and manages the institution	21	3	5	4.38	0.740
Educational performance in comparison with other	21	2	5	4.33	0.85
institutions	19	3	5	4.37	0.76

21	2	5	4.33	0.913
21	2	5	3.86	0.793
21	3	5	4.19	0.602
21	2	5	3.29	0.902
21	2	5	3.29	1.231
21	1	5	3.19	0.981
21	2	5	3.29	1.189
21	2	5	4.29	0.845
21	3		4.57	0.598
21	3		4.57	0.598
21	2		4.29	0.784
21	3		4.19	0.750
20	1	4	3.00	1.170
21	2	5	3.43	0.926
21	2	5	3.81	0.750
20	2	5	4.25	0.851
18				
	21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 20 21 20	21 2 21 3 21 2 21 2 21 1 21 2 21 2 21 3 21 3 21 2 21 3 20 1 21 2 21 2 21 2 20 2	21 2 5 21 3 5 21 2 5 21 2 5 21 1 5 21 2 5 21 2 5 21 3 2 21 3 2 21 3 2 21 3 2 21 2 5 21 2 5 21 2 5 20 1 4 21 2 5 20 2 5 20 2 5	21 2 5 3.86 21 3 5 4.19 21 2 5 3.29 21 2 5 3.29 21 2 5 3.29 21 2 5 4.29 21 3 4.57 21 3 4.57 21 2 4.29 21 3 4.19 20 1 4 3.00 21 2 5 3.43 21 2 5 3.81 20 2 5 4.25

Means for disclosures of normative reports

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Full understanding of the institutions' objective Who governs and manages the institution	23	4	5	4.57	0.507
Educational performance in comparison with other	23	3	5	4.57	0.662
institutions	23	4	5	4.65	0.487
Size and composition of student body					
Research the staff are engaged in	23	1	5	4.13	0.968
Achievement in quantitative terms	23	3	5	4.43	0.662
Achievement in qualitative terms	23	4	5	4.30	0.470
How effective the institution is	23	4	5	4.61	0.499
How successful the students are	23	4	5	4.48	0.511
Overall future plans of the institution	23	1	5	4.30	0.974
Financial performance in comparison with other	23	4	5	4.35	0.487

institutions	23	3	5	4.39	0.656
Surplus or deficit of the institutions					
Revenues of the services	23	4		4.48	0.511
Human and physical resources available	23	3		4.39	0.583
Human and physical resources are distributed	23	4		4.48	0.511
The approach to gender discrimination	23	4		4.43	0.507
The costs of the various services					
The financial implications of future plans	23	4	4	4.17	0.388
How efficient the institute is	23	1	5	3.09	1.203
Valid N (listwise)	23	4	5	4.43	0.507
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	23	3	5	4.57	0.590
	23				

APPENDIX C(ii) T-test for qualities of annual reports

Independent samples test

		Levene's test for equality of variances		t-test for equality of means					059/	
		F	Sig	t	df	Sig (2 tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval	
									Lower	Upper
Timely	Equal variances assumed	26.94	0.00	5.18	30.67	0.00	1.60	0.31	0.98	2.23
	Equal variances not assumed			3.00	Golor					1.00
Attractive	Equal variances assumed	0.17	0.69	3.08	41	0.00	0.74	0.24	0.25	1.22
	Equal variances not			3.06	37.24	0.00	0.74	0.24	0.25	1.22
Cost> benefit	assumed Equal	1.08	0.31	-3.03	42	0.00	-1.39	0.46	-2.31	-0.46
	variances assumed						-			
	Equal variances not			-3.02	40.32	0.00	-1.39	0.46	-2.31	-0.46
Understandable	assumed Equal variances assumed	4.02	0.05	4.45	42	0.00	0.97	0.22	0.53	1.41
	Equal variances not			4.30	25.83	0.00	0.97	0.22	0.51	1.43
Relevant	assumed Equal variances assumed	1.29	0.26	2.84	42	0.00	0.60	0.21	0.17	1.03
	Equal variances not assumed			2.79	34.57	0.00	0.60	0.21	0.16	1.04
Restricted to good news			0.63	-1.57	41	0.13	-0.49	0.32	-1.13	0.14
	Equal variances not assumed	l.		-1.57	40.55	0.13	-0.49	0.32	-1.13	0.14
Lacked coverage of future	Equal	8.14	0.00	-3.21	42	0.00	-1.01	0.32	-1.64	-0.37

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T			-3.15	35.28	0.00	-1.01	0.32	-1.66	-0.36
	Equal variances			-3.10	00.20	0.00	11.0			
	not									
	assumed									1.10
Reliable	Equal	2.60	0.11	1.55	42	0.13	0.48	0.31	-0.14	1.10
	variances									
	assumed									
i				1.58	39.91	0.12	0.48	0.30	-0.13	1.09
	Equal variances			1,56	00.01	0.12	0			
	not									
	assumed									
Useful	Equal	2.23	0.64	4.77	42	0.00	0.78	0.16	0.45	1.11
2015/1910/C020000	variances									
	assumed								ĺ	
				4.73	39.09	0.00	0.78	0.16	0.45	1.11
	Equal variances			4.75	00.00	0.00				
	not						İ	1		ı
	assumed								0.07	0.85
Favoured the	Equal	0.16	0.69	-0.13	41	0.90	-0.06	0.45	-0.97	0.85
interest of the	variances		1							
compiler	assumed									1
	-		1	-0.13	40.18	0.90	-0.06	0.45	-0.97	0.85
	Equal variances			-0.10	40.10	0.00			l	
	not		1						Į.	
	assumed									1.44
Properly	Equal	7.15	0.01	4.17	42	0.00	0.97	0.23	0.50	1.44
Verified	variances							1	Ì	1
	assumed	1.7								
	Faul			4.03	26.03	0.00	0.97	0.24	0.48	1.47
11111	Equal variances			7.00	20.00					1
	not				1			1	Ì	
	assumed						0.05	0.00	0.51	1.39
Comparisons	Equal	3.50	0.07	4.38	40	0.00	0.95	0.22	0.51	1.55
with other	variances							1	1	
institutions	assumed	13 1				1	1.4	1	1	1
121111	Equal			4.12	25.02	0.00	0.95	0.23	0.48	1.42
	variances							1	1	
	not							1		
	assumed			0.00	10	0.02	0.51	0.22	0.08	0.95
Valuable	Equal	1.04	0.32	2.39	42	0.02	0.51	0.22	0.00	0.00
information	variances		1			1	ì		1	
	assumed					1		1		
	Equal			2.41	41.30	0.02	0.51	0.21	0.08	0.94
	variances		1		1		1			1
	not		1							
	assumed	1	1000	1.07	111	0.00	0.88	0.19	0.50	1.27
Comparisons	Equal	0.86	0.36	4.67	41	0.00	0.88	0.19	0.50	1.27
with institutions	variances			1		}	1	1	1	
over time	assumed		1			1	1	1	1	
	Equal			4.48	28.13	0.00	0.88	0.20	0.50	1.29`
	variances		1				1	1	1	
	not	1	1	1					1	1
	assumed					1				

T-Test for disclosures of annual reports Independent Samples test

		Levene's test for equality of variances		t-test for equality of means		,				
		F	Sig	t	df	Sig (2 tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval	
									Lower	Upper
Understanding of the institutions objective	Equal variances assumed Equal variances not assumed	0.42	0.52	0.26	40.34	0.80	0.05	0.21	-0.37	0.48
Who governs	Equal	1.19	0.28	1.01	42	0.32	0.23	0.23	-0.23	0.70
and manages the institutions	variances assumed Equal variances not assumed			0.10	37.62	0.33	0.23	0.23	-0.24	0.70
Educational	Equal	7.40	0.01	1.46	40	0.15	0.28	0.19	-0.11	0.68
performance in comparison with other	variances assumed		11	- 1						
institutions	Equal variances not assumed			1.40	29.48	0.17	0.28	0.20	-0.12	0.70
Size and composition of student body	Equal variances assumed	0.68	0.41	-0.71	42	0.48	-0.20	0.28	-0.78	0.37
1 2 2 2	Equal variances not assumed			-0.72	41.95	0.48	-0.20	0.28	-0.78	0.37
What research the staff are engaged in	Equal variances assumed	0.00	0.97	2.63	42	0.01	0.58	0.22	0.14	1.02
	Equal variances not assumed			2.61	39.16	0.01	0.58	0.22	0.13	1.03
Achievements in quantitative terms	Equal variances assumed	0.00	0.99	2.62	42	0.01	0.45	0.17	0.10	0.79
	Equal variances not assumed			2.58	36.03	0.01	0.45	0.17	0.10	0.80
Achievements in qualitative terms	Equal variances assumed	20.89	0.00	6.09	42	0.00	1.32	0.22	0.89	1.76
	Equal variances not			5.94	30.56	0.00	1.32	0.22	0.87	1.71

	assumed			Γ	T	I				
Effectiveness of the institutions	Equal variances assumed	52.06	0.00	4.27	42	0.00	1.19	0.28	0.63	1.76
	Equal variances not			4.13	26.20	0.00	1.19	0.29	0.60	1.79
How successful students have been	assumed Equal variances assumed	0.00	0.94	3.77	42	0.00	1.11	0.30	0.52	1.71
	Equal variances not			3.78	41.58	0.00	1.11	0.30	0.52	1.71
Overall future plans	assumed Equal variances assumed	33.24	0.00	3.94	42	0.00	1.06	0.27	0.52	1.61
	Equal variances not			3.81	26.04	0.00	1.06	0.28	0.50	1.64
Financial performance in comparison with other inst.	essumed Equal variances assumed	0.71	0.40	0.47	42	0.64	0.11	0.23	-0.35	0.57
	Equal variances not assumed			0.46	37.70	0.65	0.11	0.23	-0.36	0.57
Surplus or deficit of the inst.	Equal variances assumed	0.37	0.55	-0,56	42	0.58	-0.09	0.17	-0.43	0.24
	Equal variances not assumed			-0.55	39.57	0.58	-0.09	0.17	-0.43	0.25
Revenues of the various services	Equal variances assumed	0.00	0.99	-1.01	42	0.32	-0.18	0.18	-0.54	0.18
	Equal variances not assumed			-1.01	41.43	0.32	-0.18	0.18	-0.54	0.18
What human & physical resources are	Equal variances assumed	1.34	0.25	0.97	42	0.34	0.19	0.20	-0.21	0.59
	Equal variances not assumed			0.96	33.89	0.35	0.19	0.20	-0.22	0.60
How human& physical resources are distributed	Equal variances assumed	2.16	0.15	1.28	42	0.21	0.24	0.19	-0.14	0.63
	Equal variances not assumed			1.25	34.69	0.22	0.24	0.20	-0.15	0.64
Approach to gender discrimination	Equal variances assumed	30.08	0.00	4.54	41	0.00	1.17	0.26	0.65	1.70

	Equal variances not assumed			4.29	22.62	0.00	1.17	0.28	0.61	1.74
Costs of the various services	Equal variances assumed	0.32	0.57	-1.05	42	0.30	-0.34	0.33	-0.10	0.32
	Equal variances not assumed			-1.06	40.88	0.30	-0.34	0.32	-0.10	0.31
Financial implications of future plans	Equal variances assumed	0.36	0.55	3.31	41	0.00	0.65	0.20	0.25	1.04
	Equal variances not assumed			3.29	35.05	0.00	0.65	0.20	0.25	1.04
How efficient the institution is	Equal variances assumed	1.59	0.21	1.43	41	0.16	0.32	0.22	-0.13	0.76
-	Equal variances not assumed			1.39	33.19	0.17	0.32	0.23	-0.15	0.78